

## **PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT**

*Geodetski glasnik* is a peer-reviewed scientific journal committed to promote the highest ethical publication practices and to maintain the integrity of the scientific record. It is not a member of the Committee on Publication Ethics – COPE, but it follows COPE's Code of Conduct.

The following statement defines what is expected of the key participants in the publishing process: authors, reviewers, the editor and the publisher.

### **Publication and authorship**

The journal publishes exclusively unpublished papers. By submitting a manuscript the authors confirm that it is the result of their own original work for which they accept scientific and ethical responsibility (especially with respect to plagiarism, forgery of data, multiple reporting or publishing identical research results, abuse of authorship, or any other form of academic misdemeanour). Additionally, by submitting a manuscript, the authors confirm that the same manuscript has not been published or submitted for publishing elsewhere.

Publication is free of charge for authors submitting the articles. It is important that each published article should contain the list of references and the institutions – if one exists – which has financially supported the research carried out.

### **Duties of Authors**

Authors who submit articles to the journal *Geodetski glasnik* affirm that manuscript contents are entirely original work. Authors should not submit manuscripts for consideration in the *Geodetski glasnik* that essentially describe the same research already published in another journal. Authors' submission implies that the manuscript has not been published previously in any language, either fully or partly, and is not currently submitted for publication elsewhere. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. Authorship should be limited to those who have significantly contributed to research/studies reported in submitted manuscripts. All those who have significantly contributed to reported research/studies should be listed as co-authors. Others, who have substantially participated in any other way to the study/research project, should be named in an Acknowledgement section. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the manuscript, and agreed to its submission to the *Geodetski glasnik*. Authors must obtain permission from the copyright holder to reproduce any material that they did not create themselves and/or has been previously published, to include the authors' own work for which copyright was transferred to an entity other than Union of Associations of Geodetic Professionals in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Each author has a responsibility to identify materials that require permission by including a citation and remark in the figure or table caption or in extracted text. Materials re-used from an open access repository or in the public domain must still include a citation and URL. For any figures, tables, or text blocks exceeding 100 words from a journal article or 500 words from a book, written permission from the copyright holder has been obtained and supplied with the submission.

Authors of submitted articles are obliged to participate in the peer-review process. If authors discover a significant mistake or inaccuracy in their published paper, it is their obligation to promptly notify the journal editor and provide retractions or corrections of mistakes.

### **Duties of Reviewers**

Reviewers perform work for the journal on a voluntary basis. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. The reviewers should respect the confidentiality of peer review and not reveal any details of the manuscript or its review, during or after the peer-review process, beyond those that are released by the journal. Reviewers should agree to review only manuscripts for which they have the subject expertise required to carry out a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner. If a selected reviewer feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or within proposed time-frame, he/she should notify the editor and excuse himself/herself from the review process. Through an objective and timely review of the submitted manuscripts, reviewers should contribute to the decision-making process and help improve the quality of the published article. The reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments, refraining from being hostile or inflammatory, and from making libellous or derogatory personal comments. Reviewers must not have any conflict of interest with respect to the research. Reviewers are expected to warn the editor of any published or submitted content essentially similar to the manuscript being reviewed. Reviewers should point out the relevant published work which is not cited in the manuscript. The reviewers must use the review form delivered by the editors together with the submitted article. All reviews should be delivered to the editorial staff in due time.

### **Duties of Editors**

The editor is expected to act in a balanced, objective and fair way in the performing of his/her expected duties, not discriminating authors on any grounds. The editor has complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article. The editor should have no conflict of interest with respect to articles he/she rejects/accepts. The editor will accept or reject a manuscript based only on its scientific content and he/she will not consider the nationality, gender, race, age or institutional affiliation of the authors. Only manuscripts that are considered for publication will be sent to blind peer review. Editors will choose appropriate reviewers considering the manuscript's content (subject, methodology). During the peer review process, editors will not reveal to reviewers the identity of the authors. The editor can resubmit a manuscript to additional reviewers in case that considers the answer from previous reviewers insufficient, inappropriate or not timely.

An article is accepted when it is reasonably certain. Once errors have been found, the editor promotes publication of correction or retraction. In the case of complaints of an ethical or conflict nature, the editor should adopt and conduct acceptable procedures which are in compliance with the policies and procedures of the related society. Authors should be given an opportunity to respond to any complaint.

### **Duties of Publisher**

The Union of Associations of Geodetic Professionals in Bosnia and Herzegovina, as the publisher of the *Geodetski glasnik* scientific journal, will ensure the maintenance of good practice according to the above outlined standards.